IN THE MATTER OF * BEFORE THE CECIL COUNTY

THE APPLICATION OF * BOARD OF APPEALS

RAYMOND & KAREN MCMEANS * CASE NO.: 4231

(Variance – RR)

* * * * * * * * * * *

OPINION

The Cecil County Board of Zoning Appeals (the "Board") is now asked to consider the application of Raymond and Karen McMeans. ("Applicant"), for a variance to the front building restriction line for construction purposes. The subject property located at 120 Bay Blvd., Earleville, Maryland 21919, being designated as Parcel 90, Lot 5 on Tax Map 56, in the First Election District of Cecil County ("Property"), in an area presently zoned Rural Residential (RR). The Property is owned by the applicants.

Under the provisions of Article XVII, Part I, Section 306, Paragraph 1, variances, as defined in Article II, may be granted by the Board of Appeals. Paragraph 2 of Section 306 requires the Board to examine all facts of the case and render a decision based upon the following criteria:

- A. The variance requested is based upon a situation where, because of special circumstances, a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would deprive the applicant of a right commonly enjoyed by other parties in the same zone under the terms of this Ordinance.
- B. Special conditions and circumstances exist that are peculiar to the land, buildings, or structures involved, and that are not applicable to other lands, buildings, or

structures in the same zone, such conditions and circumstances not being the result of actions by the applicant.

- C. The granting of the variance will not confer upon the applicant any special privileges that are denied by this Ordinance to other properties in the same zone.
- D. The variance request does not arise from any condition to land or building use, either permitted or non-conforming, on any neighborhood property.

On July 24, 2023, Applicant appeared before the Board of Appeals and testified in support of their application seeking a ten (10) foot variance to the front setback/building restriction line to build a deck on the front of the existing home to accommodate a wheelchair. Applicant testified the need arises because the property is small and narrow, and the only way to enjoy the sun setting at the front of the house without going down stairs is to add a porch or deck. To further explain, Applicant testified one occupant is disabled and requires a wheelchair or other assistance for mobility. A diagram showing the proposed construction and existing features of the property was submitted with the application. The diagram shows the residence currently has a work room and storage garage attached to the rear of the home, taking up almost all of the rear yard with the well occupying part of the rear yard.

No witness spoke in favor of or in opposition to the application.

The Health Department has no objection to the variance request.

From the evidence presented, the Board is not satisfied that the criteria set forth in Section 306 has been met due to the following conclusions:

1. The variance request is not based upon a situation where a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would deprive the applicant of a right

commonly enjoyed by other parties in the same zone under the terms of the Ordinance because the proposed desk is larger than the minimum required to remedy the situation. The Board recognizes the need for an accommodation for the disabled occupant but concludes a smaller deck/port could meet the need without a variance.

- 2. There are no special conditions or circumstances exist that are peculiar to the subject land, buildings or structures involved and that are not applicable to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zone. There are other small and narrow parcels in the zone with accessory structures for storage and outdoor enjoyment. The subject parcel is a rectangular shape (not abnormal or unusual) and contains additions and structures which limit space for any more structures at the rear of the home. Utilizing the available space in the front of the home is reasonable for the proposed use, but the Applicant has not provided enough evidence that the deck is impractical without a variance.
- 3. The granting of the variance will confer upon the applicant special privileges that are denied by this Ordinance to other properties in the same zone. The lot and structures located on the parcel are non-conforming. The proposed plan would make it more non-conforming, and the Cecil County Zoning Ordinance specifically states, "No person may engage in any activity that causes an increase in the extent of nonconformity of a nonconforming situation. For the reasons stated in this and the previous two paragraphs, the Board finds the Applicant has not met this requirement.
- 4. There is no evidence that the variance request arises from any condition to land or building use, either permitted or non-conforming, on any neighborhood property.

For the reasons stated, by unanimous vote, the Board is not satisfied that the criteria set forth in Section 306 have been met, and the application is therefore **DENIED**.

8/28/23

Mark Saunders, Chairperson

Date

			1 1 202
BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION CECIL COUNTY, MARYLAND	RECEIVED	MEET. MONTH:	31
THIS REQUEST IS FOR: SPECIAL EXCEPTION RENEWAL () SPECIAL EXCEPTION () VARIANCE () APPEAL ()	JUN 1 5 2023 Cecil County LUDS Division of Planning & Zoning	DATE FILED: 6 // AMOUNT PD: 72	3/2023
A. APPLICANT INFORMATION - ALL APPLICANTS	MUST SIGN	. 17	1
APPLICANT NAME - PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY	memeans	- Karen IV	Means
APPLICANT SIGNATURE	Karle VIIE CITY	A 215	2/9/9 ZIP CODE 740 7/49 570 2723 ONE NUMBER
B. PROPERTY OWNER INFORMATION - ALL PROP	ERTY OWNERS MUST SIGN	,	
	Ean5	Karen Me	Means
120 Bay BlVD ADDRESS	Earlev Xun McMan	. 1 1	21919 I5 740 7144
PROPERTY OWNER SIGNATURE	Marin Ma	* -	ONE NUMBER
PROPERTY ADDRESS 5 6 90	21919 EL EDT#	ECTION DIST. 6602 #ACRES	ACCT. NUMBER R ZONE Subdivisi
D. <u>PURPOSE OF APPLICATION</u> – Indicate reasons why	this application should be granted.	(attach separate sheet if ne	
HOME OWNEY has CAN TO EN JOY TO POUN a FILE HT OF E. On an attached sheet, PLEASE submit a sketch of the proper and the dimensions of the project.	A SALIVE VIE STEDS HOACK (Bilding Fertriction ty indicating the proposed project. S	ine) Reducing the how distances from the from	Growt SUBRL to 51, t, side and rear property lines
F. LAND USE DESIGNATION Is property in the Critical Area? If yes, Pertinent provision of the Chesapeake Bay Critical Is property in the 100 year Floodplain? Is property an Agricultural Preservation District?	Area Program: YES YES YES YES	NO	
If property is located in the Critical Area, all provisions and	d requirements must be met as outline	ed in Article XVII, Part I, II	& III of the Zoning Ordinance.
G. PROVISION OF ZONING ORDINANCE:	XVII Prt I Section	306	
H. SPECIAL EXCEPTION RENEWAL – PREVIOUS FILE NO	. & CONDITIONS FOR APPROVAL:		
I. SPECIAL EXCEPTION FOR A MANUFACTURED HOME	2 – Please fill out the following inform	ation:	
Will unit be visible from the road?	If yes, distance:		
Will unit be visible from adjoining properties?	If yes, distance:		
Distance to nearest manufactured home:			_
Number of units on property at present time:			Revised 1/22/20 JB



