IN THE MATTER OF

4

THE APPLICATION OF

LEROY E. SPONAUGLE, III

(Variance –Low Density Residential)

BEFORE THE CECIL COUNTY

* BOARD OF APPEALS

^e CASE NO.: 3743

OPINION

The Cecil County Board of Zoning Appeals (the "Board") is now asked to consider the application of Leroy E. Sponaugle, III (the "Applicant"). Applicant seeks a setback variance from the property lines for construction of a garage on property owned by the Applicant located at 15 Naylor Blue Court, Port Deposit, Maryland 21904, designated as Parcel 695 on Tax Map 23 in the Seventh Election District of Cecil County (the "Property"), in an area zoned Low Density Residential ("LDR").

Under the provisions of Article XVII, Part I, Section 306, Paragraph 1, variances, as defined in Article II, may be granted by the Board of Appeals. Paragraph 2 of Section 306 requires the Board to examine all facts of the case and render a decision based upon the following criteria:

- A. The variance requested is based upon a situation where, because of special circumstances, a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would deprive the applicant of a right commonly enjoyed by other parties in the same zone under the terms of this Ordinance.
- B. Special conditions and circumstances exist that are peculiar to the land, buildings, or structures involved, and that are not applicable to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zone, such conditions and circumstances not being the result of actions by the applicant.

- C. The granting of the variance will not confer upon the applicant any special privileges that are denied by this Ordinance to other properties in the same zone.
- D. The variance request does not arise from any condition to land or building use, either permitted or non-conforming, on any neighborhood property.

Applicant seeks a setback variance to permit construction of a garage on the Property. The Applicant provided the Board with a diagram showing the proposed location of the new garage measuring 24' x 32'. The Applicant additionally provided a letter from his next-door neighbors, Bradley and Jaime Ruprecht, in support of the Application.

There were no questions from the Board. No witnesses testified in favor of or in opposition to the application.

From the evidence presented the Board is satisfied that the criteria set forth in Section 306 has been met, and makes the following findings:

- 1. The variance request is based upon a situation where, because of special circumstances, a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would deprive the applicant of a right commonly enjoyed by other parties in the same zone under the terms of this Ordinance. The Ordinance requires a setback of 10 feet, but given the layout of the Property, Applicant is unable to achieve this.
- 2. Special conditions and circumstances exist that are peculiar to the subject land, buildings or structures involved and that are not applicable to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zone. Due to the position of the Property in relation to adjacent properties as well as existing structures on the Property, Applicant cannot place a garage on the Property while maintaining the required setback.

- 3. The granting of the variance will not confer upon the applicant special privileges that are denied by this Ordinance to other properties in the same zone. Other owners of parcels of equal size to the Property would be able to place a garage on their property in the manner proposed by Applicant.
- 4. There is no evidence that the variance request arises from any condition to land or building use, either permitted or non-conforming, on any neighborhood property.

For the reasons stated, by unanimous vote, the Board is satisfied that the criteria set forth in Section 306 have been met, and the application is therefore **APPROVED** for the purpose of allowing a 7' setback variance for the installation of a garage. [All applicants are hereby notified that they are required to obtain any and all necessary licenses and permits required for the use described herein.]

12/29/16 Date

Mark Saunders, Chairperson

BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION CECIL COUNTY, MARYLAND	MEET. MONTH: NI V 7016 FILE NO. 3143
VARIANCE (★)	DATE FILED: 10 13/15 AMOUNT PD: 11 100.00 ACCEPTED BY: 15 CH.
Leroy Edward Sponaugle	Planting Time
APPLICANT/NAME - PLEASE PRINT OLEARLY 15 No ylor Blue Ct.	Port Deposit MD 21904 CITY STATE ZIP CODE 410-378-2533 PHONE NUMBER
APPLICANT SIGNATURE	4/0-378-2533 PHONE NUMBER
PROPERTY OWNER INFORMATION	<i>i</i> ——
Lercy Edward Spiner PROPERTY OWNER NAME - PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY	rugle III
15 Naylor Blue Ct. 1	Port Deposit MD 21904 CITY STATE ZIP CODE 410-378-2533 PHONE NUMBER
ADDRESS 7777	CITY STATE ZIP CODE
PROPERTY OWNER SIGNATURE	PHONE NUMBER
PROPERTY INFORMATION	07-1 051956
15 Naylor Blue Ct. PROPERTY ADDRESS	ELECTION DIST. ACCT. NUMBER
23 13 0695	2 0.80 LDR
TAX MAP # BLOCK PARCEL	LOT # #ACRES ZONE
PURPOSE OF APPLICATION - Indicate reasons why the processary I would like to build a gar Building it 10 feet from to	is application should be granted. (attach separate sheet if
Ruprechts, are in support	of the variance. Letter attached
(7' 5'de Yard setbace Variance) On an attached sheet, PLEASE submit a sketch of the pi distances from the front, side and rear property lines an	roperty indicating the proposed project. Show d the dimensions of the project.
LAND USE DESIGNATION Is property in the Critical Area?	YES X NO
If yes, Pertinent provision of the Chesapeake Bay Critic Is property in the 100 year Floodplain? Is property an Agricultural Preservation District?	YES NO YES NO
If property is located in the Critical Area, all provisions XVII, Part I, II & III of the Zoning Ordinance.	and requirements must be met as outlined in Article
. PROVISION OF ZONING ORDINANCE: $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{U}}$	Iding 10 feet from side line.
XVII, Part I, II & III of the Zoning Ordinance. PROVISION OF ZONING ORDINANCE: 20/1. SPECIAL EXCEPTION RENEWAL - PREVIOUS F	ILE NO. & CONDITIONS FOR APPROVAL:
. SPECIAL EXCEPTION FOR A MANUFACTURE	<u>D HOME</u> – Please fill out the following information:
Will unit be visible from the road?	If yes, distance:
Will unit be visible from adjoining properties?	If yes, distance:
Distance to nearest manufactured home:	Size/Model/Year of Unit:
Number of units on property at present time:	Revised 10-05-gd

Variance Request Diagram Board Of Appeals Application Cecil County, Maryland Applicant: Leroy Sponaugle



