OPINION

The Cecil County Board of Zoning Appeals (the "Board") is now asked to consider the application of Francis A. Kennedy, P.R. of the Estate of Margaret M. Kennedy (the "Applicant"). Applicant seeks a variance from the minimum road frontage requirements for a parcel which is otherwise land locked, and seeks access to the property by the use of a 25 foot right of way known as Crouse Lane, which lane's existence predated the County's adoption of Subdivision Regulations and which lane is shared with several existing and adjoining properties. The property in question is a 3 acre parcel located at "South Side Crouse Lane – Vacant Land" in Elkton, Maryland, designated as Parcel 312 on Tax Map 13, in the Fourth Election District of Cecil County (the "Property"). The Property is in an area zoned Rural Residential ("RR") and is owned by the Estate of Margaret M. Kennedy, of which the Applicant is the Personal Representative..

Under the provisions of Article XVII, Part I, Section 306, Paragraph 1, variances, as defined in Article II, may be granted by the Board of Appeals. Paragraph 2 of Section 306 requires the Board to examine all facts of the case and render a decision based upon the following criteria:

A. The variance requested is based upon a situation where, because of special circumstances, a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would deprive the applicant of a right commonly enjoyed by other parties in the same zone under the terms of this Ordinance.

- B. Special conditions and circumstances exist that are peculiar to the land, buildings, or structures involved, and that are not applicable to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zone, such conditions and circumstances not being the result of actions by the applicant.
- C. The granting of the variance will not confer upon the applicant any special privileges that are denied by this Ordinance to other properties in the same zone.
- D. The variance request does not arise from any condition to land or building use, either permitted or non-conforming, on any neighborhood property.

The Applicant, on behalf of the Estate of Margaret M. Kennedy, appeared with counsel, Dennis Clower, Esq., and indicated that the Estate is requesting the variance in order to allow access to the property by means of the 25 foot right of way known as Crouse Lane, which lane predated the existence of the County's Subdivision Regulations. The Applicant is seeking this variance in order to be able to access a lot that has been owned by the Kennedy family since prior to the passage of the Subdivision Regulations in the 1960's. This lot has been land locked since its inception. Applicant's counsel, Dennis Clower, Esq., referenced an Opinion dated March 26, 2002, which granted a very similar variance request for a neighboring property known as 44 Crouse Lane, Elkton, Maryland, shown on the same tax map as the property in question, i.e. Tax Map 13, Parcel 525. Mr. Clower stated that every other parcel has access to this 25 foot lane and this lane is the other lots' only access. Mr. Mark Saunders, Acting Chairperson, asked if the Applicant had ever had the property perc tested as the goal appears to be to get the property to market, and the Applicant indicated that he had as part of the settling of the Estate. Mr. Saunders further inquired as to whether a Road Maintenance Agreement existed regarding the maintenance of the road for the property owners accessing it, and Mr. Clower indicated that there is a Road Maintenance Agreement and that the Applicant is willing to be a part of that Agreement. Mr. Clower further indicated that Crouse Lane is accepted as a road since the address of this property is "South Side Crouse Lane" and the other lots that access the lane have Crouse Lane addresses. It is a private road.

No other witnesses testified in favor of the application.

Mr. John Mitchell appeared in opposition to the variance and testified that he owned Parcel 766. He said that he and others on the lane are not in favor of it because it would result in more traffic. He indicated that the lane was put in when Bobby Crouse owned that property. Mr. James Eder asked the witness if the Applicant's becoming a part of the Road Maintenance Agreement would alleviate Mr. Mitchell's concerns regarding more traffic resulting from the granting of the variance. Mr. Mitchell indicated that his concern was that, if Mr. Kennedy sold the property, Mr. Mitchell had no guarantee that the new owners would maintain the lane. Mr. Brad Carillo asked Mr. Mitchell how long he had lived on the property and he indicated 11 years. No other witnesses testified in opposition to the application.

The Applicant added that Mr. Mitchell lives on Parcel 766 because Mr. Ulrich sought and received a variance in 2002 for the parcel to have access to Crouse Lane. That Board of Appeals Opinion in 2002 granted access to Crouse Lane.

From the evidence presented the Board is satisfied that the criteria set forth in Section 306 has been met, and makes the following findings:

1. The variance request is based upon a situation where, because of special circumstances, a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would deprive the applicant of a right commonly enjoyed by other parties in the same zone under the terms of this Ordinance. Without this variance, the property is land locked. The variance seeks access to the same 25 foot right of way on Crouse Lane that the other property owners in the neighborhood already use for

access to their lots. Without this variance, the property would remain land locked and not be marketable in order to settle the Estate.

- 2. Special conditions and circumstances exist that are peculiar to the subject land, buildings or structures involved and that are not applicable to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zone. Access to this property cannot be had without the requested variance.
- 3. The granting of the variance will not confer upon the applicant special privileges that are denied by this Ordinance to other properties in the same zone. Other owners of parcels in this neighborhood are able to access their property via Crouse Lane in the manner proposed by Applicant for the property in question.
- 4. There is no evidence that the variance request arises from any condition to land or building use, either permitted or non-conforming, on any neighborhood property.

For the reasons stated, by unanimous vote, the Board is satisfied that the criteria set forth in Section 306 have been met, and the application is therefore **APPROVED**. [All Applicants are hereby notified that they are required to obtain any and all necessary licenses and permits required for the use described herein.]

Date 23/15

Mark Saunders, Acting Chairperson

BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION CECIL COUNTY, MARYLAND	Received FILE NO. 3717		
THIS REQUEST IS FOR: SPECIAL EXCEPTION RENEWAL () SPECIAL EXCEPTION () VARIANCE (X) APPEAL ()	APR 1 9 2011 Cecil County Off of Planning & Zor	AMOUNT PD	# 200.00 X
A. <u>APPLICANT INFORMATION</u>			
FRANCIS ARLAND KENNEDY, Personal Represent APPLICANT NAME - PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY	tative of the Es	state of Marga	ret M. Kennedy
1445 Ebenezer Church Road	Rising Sun	MD	21911
ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
Francis Arland Kennedy, Personal Represent	ative		0) 658-0179 NE NUMBER
. PROPERTY OWNER INFORMATION			
Margaret M. Kennedy PROPERTY OWNER NAME - PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY			
2843 Singerly Road	Elkton	MD STATE	ZIP CODE
ADDRESS AP	CITY		
PROPERTY OWNER SIGNATURE Francis Arland Kennedy, Personal Represent	tative		0) 658-0179 NE NUMBER
. PROPERTY INFORMATION			
S/S Crouse Lane - Vacant Land			09487
PROPERTY ADDRESS	EI	LECTION DIST.	ACCT. NUMBER
13 17 312 TAX MAP# BLOCK PARCEL		Acres ±	<i>RR</i> zone
Applicant requests a variance from the mix referenced lot number, which otherwise is use of a 25 foot right of way known as Cre regulations and is shared with several ex-	nimum road front land locked. A ouse Lane which isting and adjoi	age requireme ccess to be p predated subd ning properti	nt for the rovided by the ivision es.
C. On an attached sheet, <u>PLEASE</u> submit a sketch of the distances from the front, side and rear property lines a	property indicating t and the dimensions of	he proposed proj f the project.	ect. Snow
F. LAND USE DESIGNATION Is property in the Critical Area? If yes, Pertinent provision of the Chesapeake Bay Cri Is property in the 100 year Floodplain? Is property an Agricultural Preservation District?	tical Area Program: 	YES YES YES	NO
If property is located in the Critical Area, all provision XVII, Part I, II & III of the Zoning Ordinance.	ns and requirements	must be met as ou	ıtlined in Article
G. PROVISION OF ZONING ORDINANCE: AT S	ticle VI Schedul	le of Zoning F	Regulations and
H. SPECIAL EXCEPTION RENEWAL – PREVIOUS	FILE NO. & CONDITI	ONS FOR APPROV	/AL: <u>*//</u> ///
I. SPECIAL EXCEPTION FOR A MANUFACTUR	RED HOME – Pleas	se fill out the fol	lowing informatio
Will unit be visible from the road?			
Will unit be visible from adjoining properties?	If yes, distance:		
Distance to nearest manufactured home:	Size/Model/Year o	f Unit:	
Number of units on property at present time:	_		Revised 10-05-g





