THE APPLICATION OF

\* BEFORE THE CECIL COUNTY

\* BOARD OF APPEALS

WILLIAM J. VALICHKA, III

\* CASE NO.: 3702

\*

(Variance)

## **OPINION**

The Cecil County Board of Zoning Appeals (the "Board") is now asked to consider the application of William J. Valichka, III (the "Applicant"). Applicant seeks a 2 and 1/2 foot variance from building height requirements in the Critical Area District for property located at 748 Second St., Chesapeake City, MD 21915, designated as Parcel 98, on Tax Map 43, in the Second Election District of Cecil County (the "Property"). The Property is Applicant.

Under the provisions of Article XVII, Part I, Section 306, Paragraph 1, variances, as defined in Article II, may be granted by the Board of Appeals. Paragraph 2 of Section 306 requires the Board to examine all facts of the case and render a decision based upon the following criteria:

- A. The variance requested is based upon a situation where, because of special circumstances, a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would deprive the applicant of a right commonly enjoyed by other parties in the same zone under the terms of this Ordinance.
- B. Special conditions and circumstances exist that are peculiar to the land, buildings, or structures involved, and that are not applicable to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zone, such conditions and circumstances not being the result of actions by the applicant.
- C. The granting of the variance will not confer upon the applicant any special privileges that are denied by this Ordinance to other properties in the same zone.

D. The variance request does not arise from any condition to land or building use, either permitted or non-conforming, on any neighborhood property.

Dwight E. Thomey, Esq. appeared on behalf of the Applicant. Testimony established that the Applicant is undertaking new construction on the Property and that in order to minimize the disturbance to the critical area and maintain a smaller footprint for the building while maintaining square footage of the building the height of the building must be increased. David Strouss of McCrone, Inc. measured other buildings in the neighborhood and found that several buildings exceeded the 35' height cap, so Applicant's proposal would not create any architectural dissimilarity. Applicant's goal is to lessen the impact on the critical area by not increasing the amount of impervious surface.

Clifford Houston of the Department of Planning and Zoning testified that the Critical Area Commission approves of Applicant's plan.

From the evidence presented the Board is satisfied that the criteria set forth in Section 306 has been met, and makes the following findings:

- 1. The variance request is based upon a situation where, because of special circumstances, a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would deprive the applicant of a right commonly enjoyed by other parties in the same zone under the terms of this Ordinance. Although the lots are of sufficient size to construct a house such as proposed, Applicant cannot build as proposed and reduce the effect on the critical area without a variance from the height restriction.
- 2. Special conditions and circumstances exist that are peculiar to the subject land, buildings or structures involved and that are not applicable to other lands, buildings, or structures in

the same zone. Due to the position of the Property in the critical area and the desire to reduce the effect on the critical area, Applicant requires a variance.

- 3. The granting of the variance will not confer upon the applicant special privileges that are denied by this Ordinance to other properties in the same zone. Other owners of parcels of equal size to the Property are able to construct houses on their property in the manner proposed by Applicant.
- 4. There is no evidence that the variance request arises from any condition to land or building use, either permitted or non-conforming, on any neighborhood property.

For the reasons stated, by unanimous vote, the Board is satisfied that the criteria set forth in Section 306 have been met, and the application is therefore **APPROVED**.

12/30/2014 Date David Willis, Chairperson

BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION CECIL COUNTY, MARYLAND		EET. MONTH <u> かじ</u> .E NO. <u> 376</u> 名	
HIS REQUEST IS FOR:  SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS RENEWAL ( )  SPECIAL EXCEPTION ( )  VARIANCE ( x )  APPEAL ( )	AMOU	E FILED: 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
A. APPLICANT INFORMATION			£.
William J. Valichka, III	-		
	_		
93 Penns Grove – Pedricktown Road  DDRESS	Pedricktown New CITY STAT		
$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$		856-299-8240	
PPLICANT SIGNATURE		PHONE NUMBER	
. PROPERTY OWNER INFORMAITON			
William J. Valichka, III ROPERTY OWNER NAME – PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY			
93 Penns Grove – Pedricktown Road	Pedricktown New	v Jersev 08067	
DDRESS	CITY STAT		
ft		856-299-8240	
ROPERTY OWNER SIGNATURE		PHONE NUMBER	
PROPERTY INFORMATION			
748 Second Street, Chesapeake City ROPERTY ADDRESS	2nd ELECTION D		
			UNDER
43 17 98 AX MAP # BLOCK PARCEL	2.8502 LOT# #ACRES	SR ZONE	· /r,
PURPOSE OF APPLICATION – Indicate reasons why the necessary)  To permit construction of a proposed new home. In area, a two and one-half foot height variance is requivill eliminate some driveway and outbuildings. The	order to minimize impervic	ous surface within the out of 37.5 feet. The im	critical provements
existing trees.			
. On an attached sheet, PLEASE submit a sketch of the distances from the front, side and rear property lines	e property indicating the and the dimensions of the	proposed project. S he project.	Show
LAND USE DESIGNATION			
Is the property in the Critical Area?  If yes, Pertinent provision of the Chesapeake Bay 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ially) <u>X</u> YES	NO
Is property in the 100 year floodplain Is property an Agricultural Preservation District?		YES YES	X NO
If property is located in the Critical Area, all provision	ns and requirements mus		
XVII, Part I, II & III of the Zoning Ordinance.	siata VI Sahaduta of Zono	- Begulations	· ·
PROVISIONS OF ZONING ORDINANCE: Art			
. <u>SPECIAL EXCEPTION RENEWAL</u> – PREVIOUS FILE NO			
SPECIAL EXECPTION FOR A MANUFACTURED HOMI	Ē – Please fill out the follow	wing information:	
Will unit be visible from the road?	If yes, distand	If yes, distance:	
Will unit be visible from adjoining properties?	If yes, distant	ice:	
Distance to nearest manufactured home:	Size/Model/Y	Year of Unit:	
Number of units on property at present time:			

