IN THE MATTER OF
THE APPLICATION OF

* BEFORE THE CECIL COUNTY

* BOARD OF APPEALS

* CASE NO.: 3696

BARRY HAZELWOOD

(Variance)

* * * * * * * * * * *

OPINION

The Cecil County Board of Zoning Appeals (the "Board") is now asked to consider the application of Barry Hazelwood (the "Applicant"). Applicant seeks a variance for 15' rear-yard setback requirements at property located at Little Egypt, Elkton, Maryland 21921, designated as Parcel 360 on Tax Map 14, in the Fourth Election District of Cecil County (the "Property"). The Property is in an area zoned Low Density Residential ("LDR") and is owned by Barry Hazelwood.

Under the provisions of Article XVII, Part I, Section 306, Paragraph 1, variances, as defined in Article II, may be granted by the Board of Appeals. Paragraph 2 of Section 306 requires the Board to examine all facts of the case and render a decision based upon the following criteria:

- A. The variance requested is based upon a situation where, because of special circumstances, a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would deprive the applicant of a right commonly enjoyed by other parties in the same zone under the terms of this Ordinance.
- B. Special conditions and circumstances exist that are peculiar to the land, buildings, or structures involved, and that are not applicable to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zone, such conditions and circumstances not being the result of actions by the applicant.
- C. The granting of the variance will not confer upon the applicant any special privileges that are denied by this Ordinance to other properties in the same zone.

D. The variance request does not arise from any condition to land or building use, either permitted or non-conforming, on any neighborhood property.

Applicant appeared and requested the variance in order to build a deck on the Property.

The Applicant testified that the proposed deck would encroach upon the 15' setback requirement.

Applicant requested a 25 foot setback variance in order to construct the deck.

No one appeared in opposition to or in favor of the application.

From the evidence presented the Board is satisfied that the criteria set forth in Section 306 has been met, and makes the following findings:

- 1. The variance request is based upon a situation where, because of special circumstances, a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would deprive the applicant of a right commonly enjoyed by other parties in the same zone under the terms of this Ordinance. Although the Property is of sufficient size, the dimensions of the Property along with the position of the property line render Applicant unable to construct a deck on the property without a variance from the yard setback requirement. Other properties of the size of the Parcel are able to construct decks in the manner proposed by the Applicant and without a variance Applicant will not be able to move forward with construction of the deck.
- 2. Special conditions and circumstances exist that are peculiar to the subject land, buildings or structures involved and that are not applicable to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zone. Due to the position of the dwelling on the parcel and the distance to the property line the deck cannot be constructed without the requested yard setback variance.
- 3. The granting of the variance will not confer upon the applicant special privileges that are denied by this Ordinance to other properties in the same zone. Other owners of parcels of equal

size to the Property are able to construct decks on their property in the manner proposed by Applicant.

4. There is no evidence that the variance request arises from any condition to land or building use, either permitted or non-conforming, on any neighborhood property.

For the reasons stated, by unanimous vote, the Board is satisfied that the criteria set forth in Section 306 have been met, and the application is therefore **APPROVED**.

<u>"/25/2014</u> Date

David Willis, Chairperson

EOARD OF APPEALS APPLICAT CECIL COUNTY, MARYLAND	TION	MEET. MO	3696
THIS REQUEST IS FOR: SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS RENEWAL SPECIAL EXCEPTION VARIANCE APPEAL ()		DATE FILED AMOUNT PE ACCEPTED	
A. APPLICANT INFORMATION			
Barry Hazelwood APPLICANT NAME – PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY	Υ		
422 Elk Mills Road ADDRESS	Elktor CITY	Maryland STATE	21921 ZIP CODE
APPLICANT SIGNATURE			0-398-5895 ONE NUMBER
B. PROPERTY OWNER INFORMAITON			
Barry Hazelwood PROPERTY OWNER NAME – PLEASE PRINT	CLEARLY		
422 Elk Mills Road ADDRESS	Elktor CITY	n Maryland STATE	21921 ZIP CODE
PROPERTY OWNER SIGNATURE		PH	ONE NUMBER
C. PROPERTY INFORMATION			
Little Egypt Road PROPERTY ADDRESS		4th ELECTION DISTRI	04-008952 CT ACCT NUMBER
	60 3	2.01	LDR ZONE
TAX WINT IF	RCEL LOT#	#ACRES	
D. <u>PURPOSE OF APPLICATION</u> – Indicate rean necessary)	asons why this applicati	on should be granted	. (attach separate sheet if
See attached			
On an attached sheet, PLEASE submit a side and rear productions and rear productions.	sketch of the property operty lines and the d	indicating the propo mensions of the pro	osed project. Show bject.
F. LAND USE DESIGNATION Is the property in the Critical Area? If yes, Pertinent provision of the Chesa Is property in the 100 year floodplain	apeake Bay Critical Are	a Program:	YESNO
Is property an Agricultural Preservation Distr		_	YES X NO
If property is located in the Critical Area, XVII, Part I, II & III of the Zoning Ordinanc	all provisions and req	uirements must be i	met as outlined in Article
	e.		
G. PROVISIONS OF ZONING ORDINANCE:	e. Article VII, P	age 134 and recorde	
G. PROVISIONS OF ZONING ORDINANCE: H. SPECIAL EXCEPTION RENEWAL - PREV	e. Article VII, P	age 134 and recorde	
	Article VII, Particle VIII, Particle VII, Particle VIII,	age 134 and recorde	L:
H. SPECIAL EXCEPTION RENEWAL - PREV	Article VII, Particle VII, Particle VII, Particle VII, Particle NO. & CONDI	age 134 and recorde	L:
H. SPECIAL EXCEPTION RENEWAL - PREV	Article VII, Particle VIII, Particle VII, Particle VIII,	rions for approva fill out the following in If yes, distance: If yes, distance:	L:
H. SPECIAL EXCEPTION RENEWAL - PREV I. SPECIAL EXECPTION FOR A MANUFACT Will unit be visible from the road?	Article VII, Particle VIII, Particle VII, Particle VIII,	rions for approva fill out the following in If yes, distance: If yes, distance:	L:

IN RE: VARIANCE REQUEST BARRY HAZELWOOD MAP 14, PARCEL 360

An application has been submitted to reduce the backyard building restriction line from fifty (50) feet to thirty-five (35) feet. Currently the building restriction line for LDR would be forty (40) feet but the original plat showed a setback of fifty (50) feet. The property is located off of Little Egypt Road and is Zoned LDR (Limited Development Residential). The reason for this request is demonstrated on the attached Rear Setback Variance Exhibit prepared by McCrone. Although this lot consists of approximately 2.01 acres, much of the front portion of the parcel consists on an existing pond. In addition, much of the remainder of the front portion of the property is steeply sloped and consists of the spillway into the pond. Beyond this area is the approved septic area. In order to keep the septic area a distance of 100 feet from the neighbor's well, the site for the proposed house must be moved back to at least thirty-seven (37) feet from the rear property line. A variance to allow building within thirty-five (35) feet is requested to allow two (2) feet of extra room as needed as building progresses.

Without this variance, it would be impossible to place a normally sized house on this parcel because of the aforementioned lot constraints. This lot was part of a major resubdivision approved in 1987. This variance request is based on a situation where, because of the special conditions of this lot as expressed above, a literal enforcement of the setback restriction in the Ordinance for rear yards would deprive the applicant of a right commonly enjoyed by owners of other two acre lots in the LDR zone; that is, to construct a normal single family dwelling on the lot. As the applicant purchased this lot in 2003, he was not aware of these constrictions and how they would affect use of the lot when he purchased it. These special conditions are peculiar to this lot and are not applicable to other lots in the LDR District. The conditions are not the result of any actions by the applicant. The granting of the variance will merely allow the applicant to place a house on this lot while meeting all stormwater management regulations and health department regulations and will not confer upon the applicant any special privilege denied by the Ordinance to other properties in the LDR zone. The variance request does not arise from any condition related to land or building use, either permitted or nonconforming, on any neighborhood property. The application is not based on any condition resulting from any action by the applicant.

Date

Barry Hazelwood, Applicant



