OPINION

The Cecil County Board of Zoning Appeals (the "Board") is now asked to consider the application of Kevin W. Jones (the "Applicant"). Applicant seeks a variance from the ten foot front yard setback requirement on property owned by Richard O. Bruno ("Owner") located at 52 Cherokee Drive, North East, Maryland 21901, designated as Parcel 623 on Tax Map 31, in the Fifth Election District of Cecil County (the "Property"). The Property is in an area zoned Low Density Residential ("LDR").

Under the provisions of Article XVII, Part I, Section 306, Paragraph 1, variances, as defined in Article II, may be granted by the Board of Appeals. Paragraph 2 of Section 306 requires the Board to examine all facts of the case and render a decision based upon the following criteria:

- A. The variance requested is based upon a situation where, because of special circumstances, a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would deprive the applicant of a right commonly enjoyed by other parties in the same zone under the terms of this Ordinance.
- B. Special conditions and circumstances exist that are peculiar to the land, buildings, or structures involved, and that are not applicable to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zone, such conditions and circumstances not being the result of actions by the applicant.

- C. The granting of the variance will not confer upon the applicant any special privileges that are denied by this Ordinance to other properties in the same zone.
- D. The variance request does not arise from any condition to land or building use, either permitted or non-conforming, on any neighborhood property.

Applicant and Owner appeared and requested the variance in order to construct a bank of solar panels on the side of a deck on the Property. The Applicant testified that the request is for a ten foot variance from the front yard setback requirements as the contemplated construction of the solar panel banks would infringe ten feet into the buffer. Applicant and Owner further testified that in order to function, the solar panels require a southern exposure, which requires that they be situated alongside the deck. Additionally, they testified that placement of the solar panels farther out into the yard would require the removal of several trees. Owner testified that he is willing to plant trees as mitigation. He went on to testify that he has worked for years in a field that devoted tremendous concern to the health and safety of the Chesapeake Bay and would not undertake any activity that would be detrimental to the health of the local environment. The Critical Area Commission has no objection to the granting of the variance.

No further witnesses in favor or opposition to the application testified.

From the evidence presented the Board is satisfied that the criteria set forth in Section 306 has been met, and makes the following findings:

1. The variance request is based upon a situation where, because of special circumstances, a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would deprive the applicant of a right commonly enjoyed by other parties in the same zone under the terms of this Ordinance. The Property is of sufficient size to accommodate the proposal; however, because of certain requirements of the proposed use, e.g., southern exposure of the solar panels, and the placement of

existing trees on the Property, the Applicant is unable to situate the bank of solar panels in another location. Other properties of the size of the Parcel are able to construct banks of solar panels; however, without a variance, the Applicant will be unable to do so.

- 2. Special conditions and circumstances exist that are peculiar to the subject land, buildings or structures involved and that are not applicable to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zone. The placement of trees and structures on this particular Parcel render Applicant unable to construct the solar panels in a manner that other property owners would.
- 3. The granting of the variance will not confer upon the applicant special privileges that are denied by this Ordinance to other properties in the same zone. Other owners of properties similarly situated are able to enjoy the sort of construction proposed by the Applicant.
- 4. There is no evidence that the variance request arises from any condition to land or building use, either permitted or non-conforming, on any neighborhood property.

For the reasons stated, by unanimous vote, the Board is satisfied that the criteria set forth in Section 306 have been met, and the application is therefore **APPROVED**.

Date

5/27/14

Mark Saunders, Acting Chairperson

	NI / S. S.	MEET MONTH CLASSE 2017
	BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION CECIL COUNTY, MARYLAND	FILE NO
		DATE FILED: 3/11/14 AMOUNT PO: 51 200.00 ACCEPTED BY A NEW PHY
	A. APPLICANT INFORMATION	Kenia Jones
Maria	ADDRESS Javin Jan	4 E AST MD 21901 Y STATE ZIP CODE 443-553-7796 PHONE NUMBER
	APPLICANT SIGNATURE	
mark	B. PROPERTY OWNER INFORMATION RICHARD D. Bruno PROPERTY OWNER NAME - PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY 52 Cherokee Drive North	East MD 21901 TY STATE ZIP CODE
WA 04	ADDRESS PROPERTY OWNER SIGNATURE 114	3625843703 PHONE NUMBER
t is at the original of the second	C. PROPERTY INFORMATION	5 015332
	PROPERTY ADDRESS 0031 0015 0623 NA PARCEL LOTA	35,937 825 ac. LBR Sq Fast (ottach separate sheet if
	D. PURPOSE OF APPLICATION - Indicate reasons why this applicate necessary). INSTALLATION OF SOLAR PANEL O. DECK. CAN NOT INSTALLON ROOF. I. WITHIN 50' Set-back FROM 14HWL	dayl location interinges (ASKING for 10 Front
	E. On an attached sheet, <u>PLEASE</u> submit a sketch of the property in distances from the front, side and rear property lines and the dim	Y VES NO
	Is property in the Critical Area F If yes, Pertinent provision of the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area F Is property in the 100 year Floodplain? Is property in the 100 year Floodplain?	YESA
	If property is located in the Critical Area, all provisions and requivering Part I, II & III of the Zoning Ordinance. G. PROVISION OF ZONING ORDINANCE: Standard.	inds AS Required 195.6(a)
	H. SPECIAL EXCEPTION RENEWAL - PREVIOUS FILE NO.	CONDITIONS FOR APPROVAL:
	I. SPECIAL EXCEPTION FOR A MANUFACTURED HOM Will unit be visible from the road? (f yes	E - Please fill out the following information:
	Will unit be visible from adjoining properties?	s, distance:lodel Year of Unit:
	Distance to nearest manufactured home: Size/N Number of units on property at present time:	Revised HOR-4d
	1	







