IN THE MATTER OF

* BEFORE THE CECIL COUNTY

THE APPLICATION OF

* BOARD OF APPEALS

TIMOTHY POLUDNIAK

CASE NO.: 3630

(Variance)

•

* * * * * * * * * * *

OPINION

The Cecil County Board of Zoning Appeals (the "Board") is now asked to consider the application of Timothy Poludniak (the "Applicant"). Applicant seeks a variance from the 900 square foot size restriction for an accessory apartment in the Critical Area and from the 100 foot distance from the primary dwelling requirement for an accessory apartment in the Critical Area at property located at 339 Bethel Cemetary, Chesapeake City, Maryland 21915, designated as Block 7 Parcel 46 on Tax Map 44, in the Second Election District of Cecil County (the "Property"). The Property is in an area zoned Southern Agricultural Residential ("SAR") and is owned by the Applicant and his wife, Julie Poludniak.

Under the provisions of Article XVII, Part I, Section 306, Paragraph 1, variances, as defined in Article II, may be granted by the Board of Appeals. Paragraph 2 of Section 306 requires the Board to examine all facts of the case and render a decision based upon the following criteria:

A. The variance requested is based upon a situation where, because of special circumstances, a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would deprive the applicant of a right commonly enjoyed by other parties in the same zone under the terms of this Ordinance.

- B. Special conditions and circumstances exist that are peculiar to the land, buildings, or structures involved, and that are not applicable to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zone, such conditions and circumstances not being the result of actions by the applicant.
- C. The granting of the variance will not confer upon the applicant any special privileges that are denied by this Ordinance to other properties in the same zone.
- D. The variance request does not arise from any condition to land or building use, either permitted or non-conforming, on any neighborhood property.

Applicant is an owner of the property and requests the variances in order to construct an accessory apartment on the property for the benefit of his parents. Applicant testified that his father has recently experienced medical issues and that it is his desire to construct a small unattached structure to be used by his parents as a residence in order to assist them and have them nearby. The Applicant testified that the parcel is 16.3 acres but that due to the shape of the parcel and the placement of the existing house on the parcel construction of the standalone apartment structure is not possible without a setback variance. Further, in order for the structure to be ADA compliant a variance is necessary from the 900 square foot size limitation. Applicant testified that the lot was perc tested. He further testified that he has been in contact with the Health Department and his current septic system was approved to handle the proposed additional use. Eric Sennstrom, Director of Planning and Zoning, testified that the Critical Area Commission does not oppose Applicant's request provided appropriate mitigation measures are put in place.

No one appeared in opposition to or in favor of the application.

From the evidence presented the Board is satisfied that the criteria set forth in Section 306 has been met, and makes the following findings:

- 1. The variance request is based upon a situation where, because of special circumstances, a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would deprive the applicant of a right commonly enjoyed by other parties in the same zone under the terms of this Ordinance. Although the Property is of sufficient size, the dimensions of the Property along with the position of the current structure render Applicant unable to construct the proposed accessory apartment without a variance. Other properties of the size of the Parcel are benefitted by apartments of this type and without a variance Applicant will not be able to install the new structure. Further, enforcement of the 900 square foot size limitation would result in a structure that does not meet the standards of the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- 2. Special conditions and circumstances exist that are peculiar to the subject land, buildings or structures involved and that are not applicable to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zone. Due to the shape of the parcel and the location of the existing structure the proposed structure cannot be built without the requested setback variance.
- 3. The granting of the variance will not confer upon the applicant special privileges that are denied by this Ordinance to other properties in the same zone. Other owners of parcels of equal size to the Property are able to construct accessory apartments such as that proposed here.
- 4. There is no evidence that the variance request arises from any condition to land or building use, either permitted or non-conforming, on any neighborhood property.

For the reasons stated, by unanimous vote, the Board is satisfied that the criteria set forth in Section 306.3 have been met, and the application is therefore APPROVED.

2/25/13

Mark Saunders, Acting Chairperson

BOARD OF APPEALS APP CECIL COUNTY, MARYLAND	LICATION	MEET. M FILE NO.	ONTH: Jan. 2013 3630
THIS REQUEST IS FOR: SPECIAL EXCEPTION RENEWAL SPECIAL EXCEPTION VARIANCE APPEAL	DEC 17 2	AMOUNT ACCEPTI	PD: JJJO. 30 ED BY: CXH
A. APPLICANT INFORMATION		U/ #	7868
APPLICANT NAME - PLEASE PRINT CL	EARLY		
339 Be Hel Come ADDRESS APPLICANT SIGNATURE	tary Rd. Chesny	TTY STATE	ZIP CODE 885 3515 HONE NUMBER
B. <u>PROPERTY OWNER INFORMATIO</u>	<u>N</u>		
Timothy and July PROPERTY OWNER NAME - PLEASE PR	e Poludniak		
	ry Rd. Chesny	4/0	ZIP CODE 885 3515 ONE NUMBER
C. PROPERTY INFORMATION	,		
339 Bethel Cemeta PROPERTY ADDRESS	ry Ra.	£LECTION DIST.	2-015595 ACCT. NUMBER
OOYY 7 TAX MAP# BLOCK F	PARCEL LOT#	16.3 ± #ACRES	SAR
See Attached - Va	ssary) Aciance to the se RCA for an access		variance from
 On an attached sheet, <u>PLEASE</u> submit distances from the front, side and rear 	a sketch of the property Indi property lines and the dimen	cating the proposed pro sions of the project.	ject. Show
F. LAND USE DESIGNATION Is property in the Critical Area? If yes, Pertinent provision of the Ches Is property in the 100 year Floodplain? Is property an Agricultural Preservation D	apeake Bay Critical Area Prog	VEC VEC	NONO
If property is located in the Critical Are XVII, Part I, II & III of the Zoning Ord	ea, all provisions and require linance.	ments must be met as o	utlined in Article
S. PROVISION OF ZONING ORDINA	ANCE: Section 193.	3 1al ad - 3	Section 306
I. SPECIAL EXCEPTION RENEWAL	<u>L</u> – PREVIOUS FILE NO. & CO	ONDITIONS FOR APPROV	/AL:
. SPECIAL EXCEPTION FOR A MA	NUFACTURED HOME -	Please fill out the foll	owing information:
Will unit be visible from the road?	If yes, dist	ance:	
Will unit be visible from adjoining properties?	If yes, dist	ance:	
Distance to nearest manufactured home:	Size/Model/	Year of Unit:	
Number of units on property at present time:			Revised 10-05-gd

Dear Board of Appeals,

We are requesting a variance for an accessory structure to be located on our property located at 339 Bethel Cemetary Rd. in Chesapeake City. The accessory structure will be used for Norm and Sharon Poludniak which are Tim Poludniak's (owner) parents. Norm Poludniak was permanently disabled in a recent accident and is now confined to wheelchair. We are seeking an opportunity to provide additional assistance for his care. Our desire is to have a single bedroom accessory structure located near the primary dwelling.

We request a variance to Section 193 (Density Provisions), Item #3, articles a1 and a2.

- Article a1 states that the entire perimeter of the accessory dwelling must be within 100 feet of
 the primary dwelling. Given the layout of the primary dwelling, garage, grading, and location to
 the property lines, there is not a practical location within 100 feet of the primary dwelling to
 locate an accessory structure that would provide proper access in addition to service to the
 same sewage disposal system. A proposed location is shown on the attached drawing.
- Article a2 notes a maximum square footage of 900 square feet in total. With my father's
 confinement to a wheelchair, the layout of a living space that will provide the proper bedroom,
 bathroom, and other ADA recommendations and requirements has resulted in a footprint that
 exceeds the 900 square feet total enclosed area.

We thank you in advance for your consideration of our request for this variance and if you should have any questions, please feel free to call me at (410)-885-3515 home or (443)-553-3200 cell.

Kind Regards,

Timothy and Julie Poludniak



